arXiv:1507.07457v4 [math.AG] 31 Oct 2016

# COMMUTATIVE PROJECTIVE FLOWS

GIEDRIUS ALKAUSKAS

Abstract. Let $\mathbf{x}=(x,y)$. For $\phi(\mathbf{x})=(u(x,y),v(x,y))$ and $z\in\mathbb{R}$, we put $\phi^{z}=z^{-1}\phi(\mathbf{x}z)$. *A two-dimensional projective flow* is a solution to the projective translation equation $\phi^{z+w}=\phi^{z}\circ\phi^{w}$, $z,w\in\mathbb{R}$. For smooth flows this is equivalent to the fact that a vector field $(\varpi,\varrho)$ is 2-homogeneous.

Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be two smooth projective flows. In this paper we explore when $\phi\circ\psi$ is a projective flow again, or, equivalently, when both flows commute.

We classify all commuting pairs $(\phi,\psi)$ of non-trivial projective flows such that both of them have rational vector fields. It appears that if $y\varpi-x\varrho\neq 0$, this necessarily implies that both of them are algebraic flows of level 1. Such commuting algebraic flows are constructed explicitly, and two such inequivalent commuting flows is essentially a maximal collection.

## 1. Background and results

We write $F(x,y)\bullet G(x,y)$ instead of $\big(F(x,y),G(x,y)\big)$, and also $\mathbf{x}=x\bullet y$. By "smooth", we mean of class $C^{\infty}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, in domains where rational functions in consideration are defined. Since we are mainly interested in rational vector fields, these will produce (possibly, ramified) flows in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

*The projective translation equation*, or PrTE, a special case of a general translation equation [1, 10, 11, 12], was first introduced in [2] and is the equation of the form

$$\frac{1}{z+w}\,\phi\big(\mathbf{x}(z+w)\big)=\frac{1}{w}\,\phi\Big(\phi(\mathbf{x}z)\frac{w}{z}\Big),\quad w,z\in\mathbb{R}.\tag{1}$$

(Generally speaking, one can even confine to the case $w=1-z$ without altering the set of solutions, though some complications arise concerning ramification). In a 2-dimensional case, $\phi(x,y)=u(x,y)\bullet v(x,y)$ is a pair of functions in two real (or complex) variables. A non-singular solution of this equation is called *a projective flow*. Let $\phi^{z}(\mathbf{x})=z^{-1}\phi(\mathbf{x}z)$. The *non-singularity* means that a flow satisfies the boundary condition

$$\lim_{z\to 0}\phi^{z}(\mathbf{x})=\mathbf{x}.\tag{2}$$

The main object associated with a smooth projective flow is its *vector field* given by

$$\varpi(x,y)\bullet\varrho(x,y)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}z}\frac{\phi(xz,yz)}{z}\Big|_{z=0}.\tag{3}$$

---

*Date*: October 31, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 39B12, 14H05, 53AXX. Secondary 34A30.

*Key words and phrases.* Translation equation, projective flow, rational vector fields, linear PDE, algebraic functions, Lie bracket, commuting flows.

The research of the author was supported by the Research Council of Lithuania grant No. MIP-072/2015.

Vector field is necessarily a pair of 2-homogenic functions. For smooth functions, the functional equation (1) implies the PDE [3]

$$u_x(x,y)(\varpi(x,y)-x)+u_y(x,y)(\varrho(x,y)-y)=-u(x,y), \tag{4}$$

and the same PDE for $v$, with boundary conditions as given by (2); that is,

$$\lim_{z\to 0}\frac{u(xz,yz)}{z}=x,\quad \lim_{z\to 0}\frac{v(xz,yz)}{z}=y. \tag{5}$$

These two PDEs (4) with the above boundary conditions are equivalent to (1) for $z, w$ small enough [3].

Each point under a flow possesses the orbit, which is defined by

$$\mathscr{V}(\mathbf{x})=\bigcup_{z\in\mathbb{R}}\phi^{z}(\mathbf{x}),\quad \phi^{z}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\phi(\mathbf{x}z)}{z}.$$

The orbits of the flow with the vector field $\varpi\bullet\varrho$ are given by $\mathscr{W}(x,y)=\text{const.}$, where the function $\mathscr{W}$ can be found from the differential equation

$$\mathscr{W}(x,y)\varrho(x,y)+\mathscr{W}_x(x,y)[y\varpi(x,y)-x\varrho(x,y)]=0, \tag{6}$$

and $\mathscr{W}$ is uniquely defined from this ODE and the condition that it is a 1-homogeneous function.

Now, recall the next two definitions from [6]:

**Definition.** *If there exists a positive integer $N$ such that $\mathscr{W}^N(x,y)$ is a rational function, necessarily $N$-homogeneous, such a smallest positive $N$ is called* the level of the flow*, and the flow itself is called* an abelian flow of level $N$.

The exception is when $x\varrho-y\varpi=0$, then a flow is called *level* 0 *flow*. For rational vector fields, such a flow is rational, too.

**Definition.** *We call the flow $\phi$* an algebraic projective flow*, if its vector field is rational, and $u\bullet v$ is a pair of algebraic functions.*

Algebraic flow is necessarily an abelian flow of a certain level $N$.

The theory of projective flows with rational vector fields is developed in [3, 4, 5, 6]. In particular, the last paper contains a diagram which classifies flows with rational vector fields arithmetically - rational, algebraic, unramified, abelian, and integral flows.

In this work we are interested in all projective flows with rational vector fields which commute. It appears that, apart from level 0 flows, this is satisfied only for special pairs of algebraic flows. As a very simple consequence of the main differential system, we have the following result.

**Proposition 1.** *Assume two flows $\phi(\mathbf{x})\neq x\bullet y$ and $\psi(\mathbf{x})\neq x\bullet y$ with rational vector fields $\varpi\bullet\varrho$ and $\alpha\bullet\beta$, respectively, commute. Assume that $y\varpi-x\varrho=0$. Then $y\alpha-x\beta=0$. Thus, they are both level* 0 *rational flows* [3].

*Conversely, assume $J(x,y)$ and $K(x,y)$ are arbitrary* 1*-homogeneous rational functions, and let us define level* 0 *flows*

$$\phi(x,y)=\frac{x}{1-J(x,y)}\bullet\frac{y}{1-J(x,y)},\quad \psi(x,y)=\frac{x}{1-K(x,y)}\bullet\frac{y}{1-K(x,y)}.$$

*Then $\phi$ and $\psi$ commute, and*

$$\phi\circ\psi(x,y)=\frac{x}{1-J(x,y)-K(x,y)}\bullet\frac{y}{1-J(x,y)-K(x,y)}.$$

Next we formulate our main result of this paper.

**Theorem.** *Suppose, two projective flows $\phi(\mathbf{x})\neq x\bullet y$ and $\psi(\mathbf{x})\neq x\bullet y$ with rational vector fields $\varpi\bullet\varrho$ and $\alpha\bullet\beta$ commute. Suppose $y\varpi-x\varrho\neq 0$. Then $\phi$ and $\psi$ are level* 1 *algebraic flows.*

*Conversely - for any algebraic flow $\phi$ of level* 1*, there exists another algebraic flow $\psi$ of level* 1*, such that all flows, which commutes with $\phi$, are given by $\phi^{z}\circ\psi^{w}$, $z,w\in\mathbb{R}$.*

*Practically commuting projective flows can be constructed as follows.*

*Let $\mathscr{V}(x,y)\neq cy$ be a* 1*-homogeneous rational function. Let us define algebraic functions $a(x,y)$ and $u(x,y)$ from the equations*

$$\mathscr{V}\Big(a,\frac{y}{y+1}\Big) = \mathscr{V}(x,y), \tag{7}$$

$$\frac{\mathscr{V}(x,y)}{1-\mathscr{V}(x,y)} = \mathscr{V}(u,y). \tag{8}$$

*Then the projective flows $\psi=a\bullet\frac{y}{y+1}$ and $\phi=u\bullet y$ commute. If $a(x,y)$ is rational, so is $u(x,y)$, and the other way round. Any pair of commutative, level* 1 *algebraic projective flows can be obtain from these pairs via conjugation with* 1*-homogeneous birational plane transformation.*

*Finally, the orbits of the projective flow $=\phi^{z}\circ\psi^{w}=u^{z}(a^{w}(x,y),\frac{y}{1+wy})\bullet\frac{y}{1+wy}$ are given by*

$$\frac{\mathscr{V}(x,y)y}{z\mathscr{V}(x,y)+wy}=\text{const.}$$

To be clear which branch of algebraic function is chosen, we note that the equalities (7) and (8) imply

$$\mathscr{V}\Big(\frac{a(xz,yz)}{z},\frac{y}{yz+1}\Big)=\mathscr{V}(x,y),\quad \frac{\mathscr{V}(x,y)}{1-z\mathscr{V}(x,y)}=\mathscr{V}\Big(\frac{u(xz,yz)}{z},y\Big).$$

So, we must choose such branches that are compatible with boundary conditions (5); that is, $\lim_{z\to0}\frac{u(xz,yz)}{z}=x$, $\lim_{z\to0}\frac{a(xz,yz)}{z}=x$.

One of the consequences that the flows $a\bullet\frac{y}{y+1}$ and $u\bullet y$ commute is the identity among algebraic functions

$$u\Big(a,\frac{y}{y+1}\Big)=a(u,y).$$

This can be verified easily:

$$\mathscr{V}\Big(u\big(a,\frac{y}{y+1}\big),\frac{y}{y+1}\Big)\overset{(8)}{=}\frac{\mathscr{V}(a,\frac{y}{y+1})}{1-\mathscr{V}(a,\frac{y}{y+1})}\overset{(7)}{=}\frac{\mathscr{V}(x,y)}{1-\mathscr{V}(x,y)}\overset{(8)}{=}\mathscr{V}(u,y)\overset{(7)}{=}\mathscr{V}\Big(a(u,y),\frac{y}{y+1}\Big).$$

The correct choice of branches implies the needed identity.

We will need the following result [3, 4]. Birational 1-homogeneous maps (1-BIR for short) $\mathbb{R}^2\mapsto\mathbb{R}^2$ were described in [3]. They are either non-degenerate linear maps, either birational maps of the form $\ell_{P,Q}$, given by

$$\ell_{P,Q}(x,y)=\frac{xP(x,y)}{Q(x,y)}\bullet\frac{yP(x,y)}{Q(x,y)},\tag{9}$$

where $P,Q$ are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree, or are a composition of a linear map and some $\ell_{P,Q}$. By a direct calculation, $\ell^{-1}_{P,Q}(x,y)=\ell_{Q,P}(x,y)$. If $\phi$ is a projective flow with rational vector field, and $\ell$ is a 1-BIR, then $\ell^{-1}\circ\phi\circ\ell$ is also a projective flow with rational vector field.

The following result describes transformation of the vector field under conjugation with $\ell_{P,Q}$.

**Proposition 2.** *Consider $\ell_{P,Q}$ given by (9), and let $A(x,y)=P(x,y)Q^{-1}(x,y)$, which is a 0-homogeneous function. Suppose that $v(\phi,\mathbf{x})=\varpi'(x,y)\bullet\varrho'(x,y)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}v(\ell^{-1}_{P,Q}\circ\phi\circ\ell_{P,Q};\mathbf{x}) &= \\ \varpi'(x,y)\bullet\varrho'(x,y) &= \\ A(x,y)\varpi(x,y)-A_y[x\varrho(x,y)-y\varpi(x,y)] &\bullet \\ A(x,y)\varrho(x,y)+A_x[x\varrho(x,y)-y\varpi(x,y)].&\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

*As a corollary,*

$$x\varrho'(x,y)-y\varpi'(x,y)=A(x,y)[x\varrho(x,y)-y\varpi(x,y)].$$

Our main ideas how to prove the Theorem are very transparent and can be described as follows.

First, we write a condition that vector fields of flows $\phi=u\bullet v$ and $\psi=a\bullet b$ commute. This is tantamount to the property that Lie bracket vanish. After some transformations we arrive at the linear system of two ODEs. A trivial case aside, calculation of its Wrónskian shows that both flows are in fact abelian flows (flows whose orbits are algebraic curves) of level 1 - there are two homogeneous rational functions $\mathscr{W}$ and $\mathscr{V}$ of homogenity degree 1, such that $\mathscr{W}(u,v)=\mathscr{W}(x,y)$, $\mathscr{V}(a,b)=\mathscr{V}(x,y)$. But this, at its turn, implies, that with a help of conjugation with proper 1-BIR, the second coordinate of the vector field of the flow $\phi$ can be made identically zero - this is possible only for level 1 abelian flows. Now, the differential system implies that the second coordinate of the vector field of the flow $\psi$ is equal to $cy^2$, and since these two vector fields must be non-proportional, it gives $c\neq 0$; for example, $c=-1$. But then the second variable split, and we have $b=\frac{y}{y+1}$. So, without loss of generality, we can consider $\phi=u\bullet 0$ and $\psi=a\bullet\frac{y}{y+1}$. Since $\mathscr{V}(a,\frac{y}{y+1})=\mathscr{V}(x,y)$, this shows that $a$ is an algebraic function, and from symmetry considerations we get that $u$ is algebraic function, too - if a projective flow is 1-BIR conjugate to algebraic flow, a flow itself is algebraic.

To find when a vector field $\varpi \bullet \varrho$ produces algebraic flow of level 1, we need the following criterion, which follows from results in [4].

**Proposition 3.** *Let $\varpi \bullet \varrho$ is a pair or 2-homogeneous rational functions, $x\varrho - y\varpi \neq 0$. Let $\varrho(x) = \varrho(x,1)$, $\varpi(x) = \varpi(x,1)$. A projective flow with a vector field $\varpi \bullet \varrho$ is a level 1 algebraic flow if and only if all the solutions of the ODE*

$$f(x)\varrho(x) + f'(x)(x\varrho(x) - \varpi(x)) = 1$$

*are rational functions.*

On the other hand, a flow $\phi$ is algebraic flows of level $N$ if and only if any solution of the same differential equation can be written in the form $f(x) = r(x) + \sigma q^{1/N}(x)$, where $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $r(x)$ and $q(x)$ are rational functions, and positive $N$ is the smallest possible [4].

## 2. THE PROOF

We will need the following statement, whose proof is immediate.

**Proposition 4.** *Let $\phi$ and $\psi$ be two projective commuting flows, and $\ell$ be a 1-BIR. Then the flows $\ell^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \ell$ and $\ell^{-1} \circ \psi \circ \ell$ commute too.*

To find when two projective flows commute, we apply standard results from differential geometry claiming that if vector fields are smooth, this happens exactly when the Lie bracket of both vector fields vanishes [10, 11]. In the particular case of projective flows, we have the following result.

**Proposition 5.** *Let $\phi(\mathbf{x}) = u \bullet v$ and $\psi(\mathbf{x}) = a \bullet b$ be two projective flows with smooth vector fields $\varpi \bullet \varrho$ and $\alpha \bullet \beta$, respectively. The flows $\phi$ and $\psi$ commute if and only if $\phi \circ \psi$ is a projective flow again. This happens exactly when*

$$\begin{cases} \varpi_x \alpha + \varpi_y \beta = \varpi \alpha_x + \varrho \alpha_y, \\ \varrho_x \alpha + \varrho_y \beta = \varpi \beta_x + \varrho \beta_y. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* So, suppose flows $\phi$ and $\psi$ with smooth vector fields commute. All we are left is to calculate the Lie bracket $[\varpi \bullet \varrho, \alpha \bullet \beta]$ of these two vector fields, and equate it to zero.

Here we use the standard notation in differential geometry, where the vector field is interpreted as derivation of smooth functions [10, 11]. Let

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} g_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

be two vector fields in an open domain of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then for the Lie bracket of these two vector fields, one has ([10], Section 2)

$$[X, Y] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Big( X(g_i) - Y(f_i) \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

For $n=2$ and $X=\varpi\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\varrho\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $Y=\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, the condition $[X,Y]=0$ gives the statement of the Proposition. $\square$

Let $\phi$, $\psi$ be two commuting projective flows. Since $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\varpi$, $\varrho$ are $2-$homogenic, Euler's identity gives $x\alpha_x+y\alpha_y=2\alpha$, similarly for $\beta,\varpi,\varrho$, and so the corresponding system of Proposition 5 can be written as

$$\left\{\begin{array}{lrr}\alpha_x(y\varpi-x\varrho)= & (y\varpi_x-2\varrho)\alpha+ & (2\varpi-x\varpi_x)\beta,\\ \beta_x(y\varpi-x\varrho)= & y\varrho_x\alpha- & x\varrho_x\beta.\end{array}\right. \qquad (11)$$

Suppose, $(\varpi,\varrho)$ are known, and $(\alpha,\beta)$ are unknowns. Note that the first equation can be written also as

$$\alpha_y(y\varpi-x\varrho)=\varpi_y(y\alpha-x\beta). \qquad (12)$$

First, suppose $y\varpi-x\varrho=0$. Then the second equation of the system (11) gives $\varrho_x(y\alpha-x\beta)=0$. Assume that $y\alpha-x\beta\neq 0$. Then $\varrho_x=0$, $\varrho=cy^2$. Equally, (12) gives $\varpi=dx^2$, and $y\varpi-x\varrho=0$ is satisfied only if $c=d=0$, hence we have an identity flow $\phi(\mathbf{x})=x\bullet y$. If this is not the case, we must necessarily have $y\alpha-x\beta=0$, and this proves Proposition 1. Henceforth we assume $y\varpi-x\varrho\neq 0$, $y\alpha-x\beta\neq 0$.

One solution of the system (11) is $(\varpi,\varrho)$. The trace of this linear system of ODEs is equal to

$$T(x,y)=\frac{2\varrho+x\varrho_x-y\varpi_x}{x\varrho-y\varpi}=\frac{\varrho}{x\varrho-y\varpi}+\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\ln(x\varrho-y\varpi).$$

It is a $(-1)-$homogenic function. Using the differential equation (6), we obtain that the Wrónskian of the above system is equal to, according to Liouville's formula,

$$\alpha\varrho-\beta\varpi=\exp\left(\int T(x,y)\,\mathrm{d}x\right)=\mathscr{W}(x,y)\cdot(x\varrho-y\varpi). \qquad (13)$$

In fact, while integrating, we keep in mind that $x$ is variable, $y$ is constant, only we make sure that the obtained functions have the same degree of homogenity: indeed, the left hand side is 4-homogeneous, $(x\varrho-y\varpi)$ is 3-homogeneous, and $\mathscr{W}(x,y)$ is 1-homogeneous. So, (13) holds to up a 0-homogeneous function in $y$; hence, a constant. Of course, if $\mathscr{W}(x,y)=\text{const.}$ are equations for the flow $\phi$, so are $c\mathscr{W}(x,y)=\text{const.}$ for $c\neq 0$.

From symmetry considerations, if $\mathscr{V}(x,y)$ are orbits for the projective flow with a vector field $\alpha\bullet\beta$, we get

$$\beta\varpi-\alpha\varrho=\mathscr{V}(x,y)\cdot(x\beta-y\alpha). \qquad (14)$$

This, together with (13), gives the first crucial corollary:

- ⋆ *If two projective flows with rational vector fields commute,* $x\varrho-y\varpi\neq 0$, $x\beta-y\alpha\neq 0$, *they are both abelian flows of level 1.*

From (13), expressing $\alpha$ and substituting into the second equation of (11), we obtain:

$$\beta_x(y\varpi-x\varrho)=y\varrho_x\frac{\beta\varpi}{\varrho}+y\varrho_x\frac{x\varrho-y\varpi}{\varrho}\mathscr{W}-x\varrho_x\beta.$$

Or, simplifying, we obtain a non-homogeneous first order linear ODE for the function $\beta$:

$$\beta_x\varrho=\varrho_x\beta-y\varrho_x\mathscr{W}.$$

If $\varrho\neq 0$, solving this linear ODE, we obtain a solution

$$\beta=-\varrho\int\frac{y\varrho_x\mathscr{W}}{\varrho^2}\,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{15}$$

(The constant of integration is a 0 homogeneous function in $y$; hence, a constant). We will not need this formula in the proof of the main Theorem, but it has an advantage that if a vector field $\varpi\bullet\varrho$ is known, so we known equation for the orbits $\mathscr{W}$, thus the above allows to find uniquely $\beta$, up to a summand proportional to $\varrho$. This also shows that if $\varrho\neq 0$, there exists one vector field $\alpha\bullet\beta$ such that all vector fields that commute with $\varpi\bullet\varrho$ are given by $z(\varpi\bullet\varrho)+w(\alpha\bullet\beta)$. The same conclusion follows if $\varpi\neq 0$. Of course, $\varpi\bullet\varrho=0\bullet 0$ only for the identity flow $x\bullet y$.

Now we will make one significant simplification, minding that the flows are abelian flows of level 1. Let us define

$$A(x,y)=\frac{y}{\mathscr{W}(x,y)}.$$

This is a 0-homogeneous function. We know that $\mathscr{W}$ satisfies (6). Now, consider a 1-BIR given by $\ell(x,y)=xA\bullet yA$. Let us use Proposition 2. This shows that a second coordinate of the flow $\ell^{-1}\circ\phi\circ\ell$ with rational vector field is identically equal to 0. Here we used essentially the fact that the orbits are given by 1-homogeneous rational functions.

Thus, let now consider two commuting flows $\ell^{-1}\circ\phi\circ\ell$ and $\ell^{-1}\circ\psi\circ\ell$. If we are interested in these flows up to 1-BIR conjugacy, we can, without loss of generality, consider $\varrho=0$, $\varpi\neq 0$, $u\bullet v=u\bullet 0$.

In this case, the system of Proposition 5 gives:

$$\begin{cases}\varpi_x\alpha+\varpi_y\beta=\varpi\alpha_x,\\ \beta_x=0.\end{cases}$$

If $\beta=0$, this gives $\alpha=C\varpi$, and we know that the flow commutes with itself. So let, without the loss of generality, take $\beta=-y^2$. So, $a\bullet b=a\bullet\frac{y}{y+1}$. But then the flow conservation property gives (7); that is,

$$\mathscr{V}\Big(a,\frac{y}{y+1}\Big)=\mathscr{V}(x,y).$$

(See also [3]). Therefore, $a$ is an algebraic function, and $a\bullet b$ is an algebraic flow. Yet again from symmetry considerations, $u\bullet v$ is also an algebraic flow. Indeed, 1-BIR conjugation does does impact on property the flow to be algebraic. Hence we proved the first part of the main Theorem.

We will prove the second part and at the same show how to practically produce commuting algebraic flows. As we know [3], any algebraic flow is 1-BIR conjugate to the flow $a(x,y)\bullet\frac{y}{y+1}$ for $a$ algebraic. Now, let $\mathscr{V}(x,y)\neq cy$ be any 1-homogeneous rational function. Let us define $a(x,y)$ by the equation (7). Then, if we choose the correct branch (as explained after the

Theorem), $a(x,y)\bullet\frac{y}{y+1}$ is a projective flow. Its vector field $\alpha\bullet\beta$ satisfies $\mathscr{V}_x\alpha+\mathscr{V}_y\beta=0$, and so is given by

$$\alpha\bullet\beta=\frac{y^2\mathscr{V}_y}{\mathscr{V}_x}\bullet(-y^2)=\frac{y\mathscr{V}-xy\mathscr{V}_x}{\mathscr{V}_x}\bullet(-y^2)=\frac{y\mathscr{V}}{\mathscr{V}_x}-xy\bullet(-y^2). \tag{16}$$

Let now a non-proportional vector field $\varpi\bullet\varrho$ commutes with $\alpha\bullet\beta$. Similarly as (15), we can prove

$$\beta\varrho_x=\varrho\beta_x-y\beta_x\mathscr{V}.$$

This follows easily in the same way (express $\alpha$ from (14) and plug into the second equation of (11)), or just due to symmetry considerations. Now, $\beta=-y^2$, and this gives $\varrho_x=0$. This implies $\varrho=cy^2$. Next, the vector field $\varpi\bullet\varrho+c(\alpha\bullet\beta)$ also commutes with $\alpha\bullet\beta$, so we may assume, without the loss of generality, $\varrho=0$.

Now, we can find $\varpi$ from (14) and (16):

$$\varpi=\frac{\mathscr{V}(x\beta-y\alpha)+\alpha\varrho}{\beta}=\mathscr{V}\Big(x+\frac{\alpha}{y}\Big)\overset{(16)}{=}\mathscr{V}\Big(x+\frac{\mathscr{V}}{\mathscr{V}_x}-x\Big)=\frac{\mathscr{V}^2}{\mathscr{V}_x}. \tag{17}$$

This gives a practical way to produce algebraic projective flows that commute. Moreover, we can finish integrating the vector field $\varpi\bullet\varrho$ in explicit terms, since we have at our disposition the method to integrate any vector field $\varpi\bullet 0$. Its integral is a flow $u(x,y)\bullet y$, where $u$ is found from the equation ([3], p. 307)

$$\int\limits_{\frac{y}{u(x,y)}}^{\frac{y}{x}}\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\varpi(1,t)}=y.$$

In the integral, let us make a change $t\mapsto\frac{1}{t}$. Since $\varpi$ is 2-homogeneous, this gives

$$\int\limits_{\frac{x}{y}}^{\frac{u(x,y)}{y}}\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\varpi(t,1)}=y.$$

Now, let us use (17). This gives

$$\int\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\varpi(t,1)}=\int\frac{\mathscr{V}_x(t,1)\,\mathrm{d}t}{\mathscr{V}^2(t,1)}=-\frac{1}{\mathscr{V}(t,1)}.$$

So,

$$\frac{1}{\mathscr{V}(\frac{x}{y},1)}-\frac{1}{\mathscr{V}(\frac{u}{y},1)}=y.$$

Since $\mathscr{V}$ is 1-homogeneous, this finally gives the equation for $u$, as given by (8); that is,

$$\frac{\mathscr{V}(x,y)}{1-\mathscr{V}(x,y)}=\mathscr{V}(u,y).$$

Thus, this gives the explicit formulas in the Theorem. Also, we can double check that a vector field is the correct one. Indeed, the last equation can be rewritten as, after plugging $(x,y)\mapsto(xz,yz)$ and dividing by $z$,

$$\frac{\mathscr{V}(x,y)}{1-z\mathscr{V}(x,y)}=\mathscr{V}\Big(\frac{u(xz,yz)}{z},y\Big).$$

Now differentiation with respect to $z$ and afterwards substitution $z=0$ gives, minding the formula (3), the correct value for the first coordinate of the vector field, given by (17).

Finally, a vector field of the flow $\phi^{z}\circ\psi^{w}$ is equal to

$$z(\varpi\bullet\varrho)+w(\alpha\bullet\beta)=\frac{z\mathscr{V}^{2}}{\mathscr{V}_{x}}+\frac{wy\mathscr{V}}{\mathscr{V}_{x}}-wxy\bullet(-wy^{2})=\widehat{\varpi}\bullet\widehat{\varrho}.$$

Let $\widehat{\mathscr{W}}$ be the equation for the orbits of this flow. We are left to solve the ODE (6) for a vector field $\widehat{\varpi}\bullet\widehat{\varrho}$. In this case, it reads

$$\frac{\widehat{\mathscr{W}}_{x}}{\widehat{\mathscr{W}}}=\frac{wy\mathscr{V}_{x}}{z\mathscr{V}^{2}+wy\mathscr{V}}=\frac{\mathscr{V}_{x}}{\mathscr{V}}-\frac{\mathscr{V}_{x}}{\mathscr{V}+\frac{wy}{z}}$$

Thus,

$$\widehat{\mathscr{W}}=\frac{\mathscr{V}y}{z\mathscr{V}+wy}.$$

While integrating, we keep in mind that $\widehat{\mathscr{W}}$ is 1-homogeneous function in $(x,y)$, so integration constant is chosen to be $\ln y$. For $(z,w)=(1,0)$ we recover orbits of the flow $\phi$ ($y=\text{const.}$), and for $(z,w)=(0,1)$ we get orbits of the flow $\psi$ ($\mathscr{V}(x,y)=\text{const}$). This finishes the proof of the Theorem.

## 3. EXAMPLES

3.1. **Monomials.** Let in the setting of second half of the Theorem,

$$\mathscr{V}(x,y)=x^{n+1}y^{-n},\quad n\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

This gives

$$\psi(\mathbf{x})=x(y+1)^{-\frac{n}{n+1}}\bullet\frac{y}{y+1},\quad \phi(\mathbf{x})=\frac{x}{(1-x^{n+1}y^{-n})^{\frac{1}{n+1}}}\bullet y.$$

We can check by hand that these two are indeed flows; that is, satisfy (1), and do commute.

3.2. **Superflow.** The flow

$$\phi(\mathbf{x})=x+(x-y)^{2}\bullet y+(x-y)^{2}$$

is rational, hence algebraic, and its orbits are curves $x-y=\text{const}$. We give this particular example because this flow has many fascinating properties, related to finite linear groups, infinite linear groups and their Lie algebras. This flow is the simplest example of a reducible 2-dimensional superflow [7, 8, 9]. Since orbits are 1-homogeneous functions, we can apply the main Theorem. Thus, let $\varpi\bullet\varrho=(x-y)^{2}\bullet(x-y)^{2}$, and $\mathscr{W}=x-y$. Formula (15) gives

$\beta = 2xy - y^2$, and formula (13) gives $\alpha = x^2 - y^2$. This vector field can be easily integrated using methods from [3, 4], and the flow we obtain is given by

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{x-(x-y)^2}{(x-y-1)^2} \bullet \frac{y}{(x-y-1)^2}.$$

By a direct calculation, these two flows indeed commute, since

$$\psi^w \circ \phi^z(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{x+(z-w)(x-y)^2}{(wx-wy-1)^2} \bullet \frac{y+z(x-y)^2}{(wx-wy-1)^2} = \phi^z \circ \psi^w(\mathbf{x}).$$

3.3. **A quadratic example.** Let $\varpi \bullet \varrho = 2x^2 - 3xy \bullet xy - 2y^2$. This vector field satisfies the condition of Proposition 1. In fact, in [6] we classified all pairs of quadratic forms which produce algebraic flows (see also remarks in [4]), and this particular case corresponds to a pair $(n, Q) = (1, -\frac{1}{2})$, and since the numerator of $Q$ is 1, this is a flow of level 1. Thus, we have

$$\mathscr{W}(x,y) = x^{-2}(x-y)y^2, \quad \alpha \bullet \beta \ = \ \frac{y^3}{x} \bullet \frac{y^3}{x}.$$

The system of Proposition 5 is satisfied. The method to integrate vector fields with both coordinates proportional is developed in ([3], Subsection 4.2). The integral of the vector field $\alpha \bullet \beta$ is the flow

$$a \bullet b = \frac{(x-y)\sqrt{x^2-2xy^2+2y^3}}{\sqrt{x^2-2xy^2+2y^3}-y} \bullet \frac{xy-y^2}{\sqrt{x^2-2xy^2+2y^3}-y}, \quad \mathscr{V}(x,y) = x-y.$$

Again we double-check that initial conditions (2) and PDEs (4) are satisfied. To find $u, v$ we use algebraic identities of Theorem in [4]. This gives

$$\frac{(u-v)v^2}{u^2} = \frac{(x-y)y^2}{x^2}, \quad \frac{1}{v} + \frac{u}{v^2} = \frac{1}{y} + \frac{x}{y^2} + 2.$$

Some handwork gives the solution

$$u \bullet v = \frac{xy\sqrt{1-2x+2y}+x^2}{(x+y+2y^2)(1-2x+2y)} \bullet \frac{y^2\sqrt{1-2x+2y}+xy}{(x+y+2y^2)\sqrt{1-2x+2y}}.$$

Once again, we double check that the PDEs (4) and initial conditions (2) are satisfied. It is not that straightforward to check that indeed, these two flows do commute! As mentioned in the introduction, MAPLE confirms this. For the convenience of the reviewer and the reader, MAPLE code to check these facts is contained in [14]. This gives Proposition 6. As a particular example, we prove the following

**Proposition 6.** *The flow*

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{xy\sqrt{1-2x+2y}+x^2}{(x+y+2y^2)(1-2x+2y)} \bullet \frac{y^2\sqrt{1-2x+2y}+xy}{(x+y+2y^2)\sqrt{1-2x+2y}},$$

*with the vector field* $(2x^2-3xy) \bullet (xy-2y^2)$ *and orbits* $u^{-2}(u-v)v^2 = \text{const.}$, *and the flow*

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{(x-y)\sqrt{x^2-2xy^2+2y^3}}{\sqrt{x^2-2xy^2+2y^3}-y} \bullet \frac{xy-y^2}{\sqrt{x^2-2xy^2+2y^3}-y},$$

*with the vector field* $\frac{y^3}{x}\bullet\frac{y^3}{x}$ *and orbits* $u-v=\mathrm{const.}$, *commute. All projective flows which commute with* $\phi$ *are given by* $\phi^{z}\circ\psi^{w}$, $z,w\in\mathbb{C}$. *The orbits of the flow* $U\bullet V=\phi^{z}\circ\psi^{w}$ *are given by*

$$\frac{(U-V)V^2}{zU^2-wV^2}=\frac{(x-y)y^2}{zx^2-wy^2}=\mathrm{const.}$$

The statement that the orbits are, for example, $u^{-2}(u-v)v^2=\mathrm{const.}$, is a slight abuse of notation which means the following. Let $u(xz,yz)z^{-1}=u^{z}$, $v(xz,yz)z^{-1}=v^{z}$. What we mean is that

$$(u^{z})^{-2}(u^{z}-v^{z})(v^{z})^2\equiv x^{-2}(x-y)y^2,$$

and is independent of $z$. The flow $\phi$ is locally well-defined - if $(x,y)$ is replaced with $(zx,zy)$, where $z$ is sufficiently small, we take the branch of the square root which is equal to 1 at $z=0$. For the flow $\psi$ we assume

$$\sqrt{x^2-2xy^2+2y^3}=x\sqrt{1-\frac{2y^2}{x}+\frac{2y^3}{x^2}},$$

and similar convention holds for $(x,y)\mapsto(zx,zy)$.

3.4. **A cubic example.** Consider the vector field $2x^2+xy\bullet xy+2y^2$. Yet again, this vector field satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1, so it produces algebraic flow of level 1. Indeed, in the setting of ([6], Theorem 3), $(n,Q)=(1,\frac{1}{2})$. Moreover, this vector field is symmetric with respect to conjugation with a 1-BIR involution $i(x,y)=(y,x)$, and so is the flow.

Let $A=\frac{y(y-3x)}{6x^2}$. Then formulas in Proposition 2 give a vector field

$$\frac{4xy^2-y^3-9x^2y}{6x}\bullet(-y^2).$$

The orbits of this flow are given by

$$\mathscr{V}(x,y)=\frac{(3x-y)y^3}{(x-y)^3}=\mathrm{const.}$$

So, for $\mathscr{V}$ is given, we are now in the position of second part of the Theorem, and can give the final answer in terms of Cardano formulas. Returning back to the vector field $2x^2+xy\bullet xy+2y^2$ gives the following result.

**Proposition 7.** *The flow* $\phi(x,y)=u(x,y)\bullet v(x,y)$, *where*

$$u(x,y)=\frac{\left(\sqrt[3]{x+y\sqrt{\frac{y-3x+6x^2}{x-3y+6y^2}}}+\sqrt[3]{x-y\sqrt{\frac{y-3x+6x^2}{x-3y+6y^2}}}\right)x(x-y)}{\sqrt[3]{x-3y+6y^2}\cdot(y-3x+6x^2)}-\frac{2x^2}{y-3x+6x^2},$$

*and* $v(x,y)=u(y,x)$, *with the vector field* $2x^2+xy\bullet xy+2y^2$, *and orbits* $u^2(u-v)^{-3}v^2=\mathrm{const.}$, *and the flow* $\psi(\mathbf{x})=a\bullet b$, *where the third degree algebraic functions* $a,b$ *are found from*

$$\frac{(9ax-8x^2-3ay)x^2y^2}{a(ay-3ax+3x^2)^2}=x-3y-6y^2,\quad b=\frac{a^2(y-3x)}{x^2}+3a,$$

*(we choose the branch of the function "a" which satisfies the boundary condition) with the vector field* $\alpha\bullet\beta=-\frac{xy^3}{(x-y)^2}\bullet\frac{3xy^3-2y^4}{(x-y)^2}$ *and orbits* $\frac{a^2}{3a-b}=\text{const.}$, *commute. All projective flows which commute with* $\phi$ *are given by* $\phi^z\circ\psi^w$, $z,w\in\mathbb{R}$. *The orbits of the flow* $U\bullet V=\phi^z\circ\psi^w$ *are given by*

$$\frac{U^2V^2}{z(V-U)^3+wV^2(3U-V)}=\frac{x^2y^2}{z(y-x)^3+wy^2(3x-y)}=\text{const.}$$

The MAPLE code which again checks the last Proposition can be found at [15]. As mentioned, $v(x,y)=u(y,x)$. MAPLE formally verifies that the PDE (4) is satisfied without even specifying which of the branches of radicals we are using. Also the flow conservation property is satisfied. However, it is known that if a third degree polynomial has three distinct real roots, then Cardano formulas must involve complex numbers ([13], chapter on Galois theory). So, the choice of the branch and thus making sure that the boundary conditions (2) are satisfied are not so explicit if one uses this expression. Numerical computations show that for $x-3y>0$, $y-3x>0$, the boundary conditions are satisfied if we use positive value for the square root and real values for cubic roots, due to an algebraic identity:

$$\lim_{z\to0}\frac{u(xz,yz)}{z}=\frac{\left(\sqrt[3]{x+y\sqrt{\frac{y-3x}{x-3y}}}+\sqrt[3]{x-y\sqrt{\frac{y-3x}{x-3y}}}\right)x(x-y)}{\sqrt[3]{x-3y}\cdot(y-3x)}-\frac{2x^2}{y-3x}\equiv x.$$

Of course, such identities should not come as surprise: they arise all the time when a cubic polynomial has a root we know in advance - for example, write Cardano formulas for a polynomial $(x-1)(x^2+px+q)$.

The algebraic function $a(x,y)$ satisifes the third degree equation

$$F(a,x,y):=(9ax-8x^2-3ay)x^2y^2+(3y+6y^2-x)a(ay-3ax+3x^2)^2=0,\qquad(18)$$

and the function $b$ is given by

$$b=\frac{a^2(y-3x)}{x^2}+3a;$$

the latter follows from the flow conservation property. The polynomial $F(a,x,y)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{C}[a,x,y]$. To double-check that the integral of the vector field $\alpha\bullet\beta$ is $a\bullet b$, we will now verify the boundary condition (2) and the PDE (4). Let $a^z(x,y)=\frac{a(xz,yz)}{z}$. Then putting $(x,y)\mapsto(xz,yz)$ in (18), we obtain:

$$(9a^zx-8x^2-3a^zy)x^2y^2=(x-3y-6y^2z)a^z(a^zy-3a^zx+3x^2)^2.$$

Since for $z=0$, $a^0=x$ satisfies the above, we choose the branch for $a^z$ such that for $z=0$, $a^z=x$. We are left to verify the PDE for $a$:

$$a_x(x,y)(\alpha(x,y)-x)+a_y(x,y)(\beta(x,y)-y)=-a.$$

From (18), we have

$$a_x=-\frac{F_x}{F_a},\quad a_y=-\frac{F_y}{F_a}.$$

So, the following as if must hold:

$$-\frac{F_x(a,x,y)}{F_a(a,x,y)}\cdot\big(\alpha(x,y)-x\big)-\frac{F_y(a,x,y)}{F_a(a,x,y)}\cdot\big(\beta(x,y)-y\big)+a\overset{?}{=}0.$$

However, calculations with MAPLE show that the left hand side of the above, which is a rational function in three variables, is not identicaly zero - it is quite a lengthy expression that involves the powers of $a$ up to $a^5$. Nevertheless, $a$ is algebraically dependent on $x,y$, and the numerator can be reduced. And indeed, MAPLE confirms that

$$-\frac{F_x}{F_a}(\alpha-x)-\frac{F_y}{F_a}(\beta-y)+a\equiv 0 \bmod F(a,x,y).$$

Note that we have already encountered an analogous phenomenon in [5] while dealing with elliptic flows. So, this implicitly verifies the PDE (4).

One last remark. The vector field $\varpi\bullet\varrho=2x^2+xy\bullet xy+2y^2$ is invariant under conjugation with a linear involution $i_0(x,y)=(y,x)$. This shows that if a vector field $\alpha\bullet\beta=-\frac{xy^3}{(x-y)^2}\bullet\frac{3xy^3-2y^4}{(x-y)^2}$ commutes with a $\varpi\bullet\varrho$, so does a vector field $i_0\circ(\alpha\bullet\beta)\circ i_0(x,y)$. However, this vector field is not equal to $\alpha\bullet\beta$. There is no contradiction to uniqueness property, since we know that there must exist $c,d\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$c(2x^2+xy\bullet xy+2y^2)+d\Big(-\frac{xy^3}{(x-y)^2}\bullet\frac{3xy^3-2y^4}{(x-y)^2}\Big)=\Big(\frac{3x^3y-2x^4}{(x-y)^2}\bullet-\frac{x^3y}{(x-y)^2}\Big).$$

This indeed holds for $c=d=-1$.

## 4. HIGHER DIMENSIONS

The problem of describing various aspects of projective flows in dimension $n\geq 3$, starting from continuous (not necessarily smooth) flows on a single point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^n$, symmetry, superflows, quasi-flows, rational flows, flows over finite fields, abelian, algebraic and integral flows, are all open. See [5, 6] for a list of 10 problems. This list is continued in [4, 7, 8, 9]. In relation to the topic of the current paper, we pose yet another one.

Based on results in dimension 2, we can construct families of $n$ pairwise commuting projective flows with rational vector fields. Indeed, we will illustrate this in dimension 3, and the same construction - direct sum of flows - works in any dimension. Let $\phi=u\bullet v$ and $\psi=a\bullet b$ be 2-dimensional commuting algebraic flows. Then let us define the set of flows

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\phi(x,y,z)=u(x,y)\bullet v(x,y)\bullet z,\\ \psi(x,y,z)=a(x,y)\bullet b(x,y)\bullet z,\\ \xi(x,y,z)=x\bullet y\bullet \frac{z}{1-z}.\end{array}\right. \tag{19}$$

(Here $z$, of course, is no longer a "time" parameter. The use of the same notation "$\phi$" and "$\psi$" for 2-dimensional flows and their 3-dimensional extensions should not cause a confusion). These three are algebraic pairwise commuting flows. In particular, as the most basic example, let us consider

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\phi(x,y,z)=\frac{x}{1-x}\bullet y\bullet z,\\ \psi(x,y,z)=x\bullet\frac{y}{1-y}\bullet z,\\ \xi(x,y,z)=x\bullet y\bullet\frac{z}{1-z}.\end{array}\right.$$

A very simple argument shows that this is a maximal collection - any flow which commutes with all three is necessarily of the form

$$\eta(x,y,z)=\frac{x}{1-px}\bullet\frac{y}{1-qy}\bullet\frac{z}{1-rz}=\phi^{p}\circ\psi^{r}\circ\xi^{r},\quad p,q,r\in\mathbb{R}\text{ are fixed.}$$

Indeed, suppose a smooth flow $\eta=u(x,y,z)\bullet v(x,y,z)\bullet t(x,y,z)$ commutes with $\phi$, $\psi$, and $\xi$. Then $\eta$ commutes with $\xi^{r}$ for any $r\in\mathbb{R}$ - vanishing of Lie brackets is a homogeneous condition on vector fields. Writing down, this means that

$$u\Big(x,y,\frac{z}{1-rz}\Big)=u(x,y,z),\text{ for any }r.$$

So, $u$ is independent of $z$. Equally, $u$ is independent of $y$, and so $u(x,y,z)=\frac{x}{1-px}$ for a certain $p\in\mathbb{R}$. The same reasoning works for the functions $v$ and $t$. A bit more generally, similar argument shows that (19) is a maximal collection. Indeed, for any 3-dimensional projective flow $\eta$ which commutes with $\xi$, the first two coordinates of $\eta$ must be independent of $z$, and therefore the first two coordinates of the vector field of $\eta$, based on the results of the current paper, are a linear combination of vector fields of 2-dimensional flows $u\bullet v$ and $a\bullet b$.

Another family of flows was given in [2] in relation to continuous flows on a single point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. With commutativity in mind, we now shed a new light on this particular example. Indeed, let $Q(\mathbf{x})$ be an arbitrary non-zero quadratic form in $n$ variables with real coefficients, and let $B(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})=Q(\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{y})-Q(\mathbf{x})-Q(\mathbf{y})$ be the associated bilinear form. Then we know that for any $\mathbf{a}\in\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the $n$-dimensional rational function

$$\phi_{\mathbf{a},Q}(\mathbf{x})=\frac{\mathbf{a}Q(\mathbf{x})+\mathbf{x}}{Q(\mathbf{x})\cdot Q(\mathbf{a})+B(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{a})+1}$$

(numerator is a vector, denominator is a scalar) is a projective flow with a vector field $\mathbf{a}Q(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{x}B(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{a})$ [2]. Moreover ([2], Proposition 2),

$$\phi_{\mathbf{a},Q}\circ\phi_{\mathbf{b},Q}(\mathbf{x})=\phi_{\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b},Q}(\mathbf{x}).$$

So, for $Q$ fixed and $\mathbf{a}$ varying, these flows mutually commute, and their composition produces a new projective flow, in correspondence with the results of the current paper. Since all possible vectors $\mathbf{a}$ form a vector space of dimension $n$, there are exactly $n$ linearly independent vector fields in this family. With all these examples in mind, we therefore pose the following:

**Problem 11.** *Let $n\geq 3$ be an integer. Describe maximal sets of pairwise commuting smooth projective flows with rational vector fields in dimension $n$. Is it true that this set is generated by $n$ linearly independent vector fields, and all flows in this set are given by algebraic functions?*

## REFERENCES

[1] J. ACZÉL, *Lectures on functional equations and their applications*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 19 Academic Press, New York-London 1966.

[2] G. ALKAUSKAS, Multi-variable translation equation which arises from homothety. *Aequationes Math.* **80**(3) (2010), 335–350.

[3] G. ALKAUSKAS, The projective translation equation and rational plane flows. I. *Aequationes Math.* **85**(3) (2013), 273–328.

[4] G. Alkauskas, The projective translation equation and rational plane flows. II. Corrections and additions. https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.05106.

[5] G. Alkauskas, The projective translation equation and unramified 2-dimensional flows with rational vector fields. *Aequationes Math.* **89**(3) (2015), 873–913.

[6] G. Alkauskas, Algebraic and abelian solutions to the projective translation equation, *Aequationes Math.* **90** (4) (2016), 727–763. http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.08028.

[7] G. Alkauskas, Projective superflows. I. http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.06570.

[8] G. Alkauskas, Projective superflows. II. $O(3)$ and the icosahedral group. http://arxiv.org/abs/1606.05772.

[9] G. Alkauskas, Projective superflows. III. Finite subgroups of $U(2)$. http://arxiv.org/abs/1608.02522.

[10] L. Conlon, *Differentiable manifolds.* Reprint of the 2001 second edition. Modern Birkhäuser Classics (2008).

[11] P. M. Gadea J. Muñoz Masqué, I. V. Mykytyuk, *Analysis and algebra on differentiable manifolds. A workbook for students and teachers.* Second edition. Problem Books in Mathematics, Springer, London, 2013.

[12] I. Nikolaev, E. Zhuzhoma, *Flows on 2-dimensional manifolds.* An overview. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1705. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1999).

[13] B.L. van der Waerden, *Algebra. Vol. I.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.

[14] http://www.mif.vu.lt/~alkauskas/math/Proposition1.mws.

[15] http://www.mif.vu.lt/~alkauskas/math/Proposition2.mws.

Vilnius University, Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Naugarduko 24, LT-03225 Vilnius, Lithuania

*E-mail address*: `giedrius.alkauskas@mif.vu.lt`